

City of High Point

*Municipal Office Building
211 South Hamilton Street
High Point, NC 27261*



Meeting Minutes

Thursday, October 22, 2009

9:00:00 AM

Council Chambers, City Hall

*Rebecca R. Smothers, Mayor
William S. Bencini, Mayor Pro Tem
Latimer B. Alexander, IV, Mary Lou Blakeney,
Foster Douglas, John Faircloth, Michael D. Pugh,
Bernita Sims, M. Christopher Whitley*

ROLL CALL, PRAYER, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Present: Mayor Smothers, Council Member Bencini, Council Member Alexander, Council Member Blakeney, Council Member Douglas, Council Member Pugh, Council Member Sims and Council Member Whitley
Absent: Council Member Faircloth

**PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE- Council Member Bencini, Chair
Committee Members: Blakeney, Pugh, Sims and Faircloth****Ordinance - Rezoning Case 09-08 - High Point University - E. Farris Avenue****090254****a. Conditional Use Public & Institutional (CU-PI) District**

A request by High Point University to rezone two parcels totally approximately 0.79 acres from the Residential Single Family-7 (RS-7) District to a Conditional Use Public & Institutional (CU-PI) District. One parcel is lying along the south side of E. Farris Avenue, approximately 180 feet west of W. College Drive (906 E. Farris Ave.). The second parcel is lying along the north side of the terminus of Fifth Court (1011 Fifth Ct.).

b. Major Amendment to Conditional Use Permit 00-26

A request by High Point University to amend the permit to add approximately 0.79 acres into the permit and to amend development standards.

The public hearing regarding this matter was held on Monday, October 19, 2009 at 5:30 p.m. at which time action was taken to continue it to Thursday, October 22, 2009 at 9:00 a.m.

Note: Please refer to the minutes of October 19, 2009 for comments made at that public hearing.

_____ Transcript: Continued Public Hearing _____

Chairman Bencini: Just to remind everybody, we're still operating under the public hearing and if you weren't sworn in the other night and want to speak during this process, we'll have to get the city clerk to swear you in. Is there anybody here that wants to speak that wasn't here Monday night? Mr. Mays if you'll come forward. [oaths administered] Before we get started, I think I see a copy of it here on the desk, but I don't know how to interpret anything other than ex-parte' communication from the university regarding this case. I will confess that I received this communication and also state that it will not have an impact on my outlook on this case.

Council Member Alexander: I also received it.

Mayor Smothers: I think we all did. Did we not?

Council Member Sims: Yes.

Council Member Whitley: I didn't until now.

Mayor Smothers: You have just received it.

Chairman Bencini: Just to make sure, I would advice maybe representatives of the university to get with our legal staff on these quasi-judicial hearings. It's not proper because it is a conditional use to communicate with Council during, really during or before the public hearing process.

Council Member Pugh: I just got it, but I haven't read it.

Mayor Smothers: It may be that they plan to present this this morning. I don't know. I was just looking at the acreage issue on the back since that was one of the questions Council did raise the other day about the amount of property that was actually controlled by the university. That's why I had the clerk to copy it and to have it before you this morning in case that came up.

Assistant City Attorney JoAnne Carlyle: As long as you guys have proper disclosure and it sounds like that's what everybody's doing now and you agree as a group that that's acceptable.

Mayor Smothers: Anybody object? I don't know whether they want to enter it into evidence or not when the university comments. Mr. Scarborough?

Don Scarborough: I couldn't hear that, could you repeat it?

Mayor Smothers: Would you interpret for Don the information we're talking about is the acreage count that you did calculate and sent via e-mail.

Don Scarborough: Yes I did and the question was how do you define the block.

Mayor Smothers: We understand. There's some question as to whether it's acreage or actual number of parcels. Since that's not defined in the policy, you offer this as additional information.

Chairman Bencini: Herb if you would kind of give us a summary of where we are at this point.

Herb Shannon: In front of you on the dais is a dated memorandum dated October 21st from the Planning & Development Department. At your Monday night, October 19th public hearing, the applicant for Zoning Case 09-08 submitted changes to their application. There are three changes consisting of the sketch plan for Tract A showing that area being developed as a parking lot rather than as a student dormitory as submitted to the Planning & Zoning Commission. They've also amended their Conditional Use Permit to remove the proposed language relating to that building in regards to building setback and landscaping associated with that building and they added a condition for fencing being in place at the western boundary of Tract A between privately owned property and property owned by the university. The revisions offered by the applicant do not change findings 1 and 3-those findings will stand. Within the memo that we provided, we've updated the findings pertaining to finding 2 and an updated statement of consistency based upon the information that the applicant has provided. They have provided a watershed, landscape plan that meets ordinance requirements. I think the main thing, we'll just note to you, is based upon the updated information that

you received, the proposed new sketch plan of the proposed parking lot will be totally upon the area already zoned Conditional Use-Public Institutional and the zoning site would act as a buffer or transitional area between that parking lot and private property to the west. That's just a quick summary of the memo that you have there and it has updated recommended findings and statement of consistency for your consideration. Are there any questions of staff?

Chairman Bencini: There were a couple of things that I think we had asked staff to look at. One, I guess, was details about the buffer and the fencing. I think Councilman Alexander had mentioned something about reversing where the fence and the vegetative buffer will be and then there were also some questions about light spillage out of the parking lot across Farris Drive.

Herb Shannon: I will note to you, the landscaping plan meets ordinance requirements. There's no specific requirements in the ordinance where the fence is to be placed at. That's something the applicant, if they desire, can offer as a condition. They have noted within their conditional use permit that exterior lighting was not to be located in the planting yard and they are to be down lit oriented, inward to the site so it wouldn't spill over into adjacent property owners homes.

Chairman Bencini: I'm really not concerned at this point with the permanent lighting fixtures, I was more concerned about the amount of cars coming in and out of that parking lot at night with headlights on and how much spillage would go over across the street into the residential area across Farris Drive.

Herb Shannon: The applicant may be able to go into more detail, but the landscaping plan they provided did note landscaping along that end of the parking lot to reduce that spillage.

Mayor Smothers: Have you all ridden out there and looked at it?

Council Member Alexander: I have.

Mayor Smothers: I have too.

Chairman Bencini: Not recently.

Mayor Smothers: The elevation is certainly different. I don't good at estimating distances, but from the edge of that parking lot across the greenway and across the wooded area in the middle which of course are deciduous trees, but still it's pretty good forestation through there.

Lee Burnette: We worked with the applicant to put forth plantings up on the upper part of the parking lot adjacent to Farris. They will be evergreen that will be high enough in installation to block lighting when it comes in. The fencing, we are still going to work on some details of that. Most of the plantings, because of the driveways that's located there on the residential property, it really doesn't allow room to put the fencing closer into the existing house and landscaping on the other side. The fencing, in my opinion, is really the most critical aspect I think. As long as the fence is up, the installation of plant material is more decorative to some degree in terms of impact. We did try to look at

putting some of the plant material on the other side of the fence but because of the driveways that exist for both of the single family houses that the university owns really precludes that from happening to the largest extent. There may be some places that could happen, but for the most part it's difficult.

Council Member Alexander: Could you explain the exhibit that you put up?

Lee Burnette: In fact, Doug, if you don't mind, maybe you could explain a little more about it.

Doug Loveland: This is a row of Leyland Cypress that the applicant has offered. I believe it's 17 Leyland Cypress that would be 8-10 feet at planting as shown on the plan. That is their required street yard. We've worked with them and kind of modified the default requirements of the ordinance. Providing a shrub really isn't going to do much light blockage, so instead of providing shrubs we've provided these canopy trees or the applicant has offered to do this. I think that does a good job of blocking the light spillage there and achieving what we want to achieve.

Council Member Alexander: When I went out there and certainly everything's under construction and things are being moved and it's really hard to see, the height of the finished parking lot as it relates to where the base of those trees-how would that relate because it is a rather steep slope as you move down toward Farris there.

Doug Loveland: Correct. You can see....maybe....I can't really make out the elevation line, those contours are 1 foot contours. The planting of the trees are going to be very close-within a few feet I believe of the elevation of that parking lot. So as those trees mature and fill in, they're really going to do a good job of blocking the light from that parking lot. It'll be a very similar elevation.

Council Member Alexander: So would you say that the....at planting the top of the tree might be 3 feet above the finished grade of the parking lot?

Doug Loveland: It would be more than that. If it's 8-10 feet at planting, it's probably going to be....the base of the tree will be a couple of feet below the parking lot so you're going to have a good 6 odd feet, according to the plan, of tree above the elevation of that parking lot. At least above this portion of it. Now the parking lot itself is moving upgrade as well.

Mayor Smothers: Is the plan still for the opaque fence?

Doug Loveland: Correct.

Mayor Smothers: So you've got a fence, then you've got trees.

Council Member Alexander: No, there's not a fence on the side....on this side. There's an opaque fence on the backside. They're going to...down at the bottom, they're going to install that High Point University style column and bar fence, but there's not an opaque fence on this corner here so if they come in and turn to the right, the tree screening is the only thing that would mitigate light of sound.

Council Member Whitley: Herb, can you put up this map?

Mayor Smothers: Just a suggestion and certainly Mr. Mercer and your other landscaping people know a lot more about it than I do, but I did have a landscaper out yesterday because I have some sick looking Leyland Cypress and he did suggest a different variety of evergreen that grows as fast, but is not as susceptible to disease.

Doug Loveland: We mentioned that to the applicant and he conferred with his landscape folks. I believe it's Arborvitae that's an acceptable substitute. It has some of its own health issues apparently. If planted well and you have good specimens they feel comfortable that the Leyland Cypress will do okay, but that's something obviously that they're going to have to keep after and if some of those trees were to die, they'd be required to replant them-just like any other site in the city would.

Mayor Smothers: This wasn't an Arborvitae. I don't know what it was. Anyway, if you're interested, I'll give you his name.

Council Member Whitley: Let me ask a question of staff as well as of the university and of members of Council. You know, quite frankly, at some point in the future, they are going to take all this. Is there interest in basically eliminating landscaping either from here or down to here-all this-and let them spend the money over here? I mean the fence is there, so the only ones seeing the back of the fence and the landscaping is going to be those in the parking lot. I would think that the money spent on the road next to Farris is more of a benefit to the city and the taxpayers as well as the neighbors out there at some point in the future, thus the planting would not have to be removed when they expand further out which obviously they're going to do that.

Doug Loveland: That may be, but that is an ordinance requirement to plant that. However, at this point in time, as per ordinance requirements, they can bond the installation of this landscaping until the next planting season this coming spring. So they may choose just to plant that and wait until spring. In the spring, now, if things have changed out there, maybe the need to plant that is no longer there. If not, they would be required to plant it at that time. That is an ordinance requirement. We do have some flexibility in plantings from an alternate landscaping plan, but with that being at the edge of the campus and I believe the university speaks a little bit of that too, it is desirable to get some plantings there.

Council Member Whitley: I mean, I'm just wondering if there's not a waiver Council can institute or a condition that kind of allows as you say banking and let it be put somewhere else in lieu of what's going to be done in the future?

Doug Loveland: Conditions strengthen the requirements of the ordinance and although we can require maybe additional plantings here, we can't necessarily waive the requirement along that property line. You could bolster the requirements along that street yard along Farris for the rezoning property although this property is already zoned. And I would mention, that existing condition is already bolstered to require more shrubs, more evergreen shrubs. As I mentioned, in this instance, instead of seeking shrubs, we wanted to seek additional canopy trees because we thought it would be more effective.

Council Member Whitley: Mr. Bencini, maybe that is something that the committee can evaluate to give Council and P & Z flexibility in situations like this where we know there's going to be expansion. It is a waste of money.

Chairman Bencini: Particularly in light of the proposed University Area Plan because what's an edge today is not going to be an edge tomorrow as we have seen and I do think that the University Area Plan ought to, in some way, address that because as the university continues to expand, we may be requiring the university to spend an awful lot of resources to do something required only to have all of that torn down soon after because it's expanded beyond that.

Council Member Whitley: Well in this case, the highest and best use of that landscaping is not where it's required, but where it could possibly go.

Mayor Smothers: I was thinking the same thing yesterday when I went back down College looking at all the fencing. I thought, I wonder if you could move it because, obviously, the configuration will change and that's quite an investment in that ornamental fencing. Perhaps that is something with the University Area Plan, since we haven't finished that could be included since we can't just waive an ordinance. Honestly, if you all go out and look at the amount of trees that are already out there, they are considerable. As I said now, they are deciduous.

Chairman Bencini: They don't do much good in the winter, do they?

Mayor Smothers: No, but when you look at how much winter we have around here, we start getting growth back pretty soon in the spring. Actually it's just a few months.

Don Scarborough: I'd just like to say that we're happy to plant wherever you want us to plant. We're trying to follow the regulations that are established and I think we've demonstrated the importance that we place on landscaping throughout the rest of campus. Wherever we end up in this discussion, I think we'll all come out happy on the end whether we plant next to the fence or whether we don't plant next to the fence, we aren't going to leave that hill exposed. We've demonstrated that throughout the campus.

Mayor Smothers: Perhaps the best use of the money since we're so concerned about it shining in somebody's window is to go plant the trees over there. You won't have to plant as many because there's not that much exposure out there.

Chairman Bencini: Anyone else care to speak?

Clayton Mays: I'm Clayton Mays. I live on the corner of W. College and E. Farris directly across from the High Point University billboard. The main concerns that we have from just having learned that this dorm has now changed back to a parking lot. That was news to us as of Council's meeting on Monday I guess it was. [Tape 2 changed to Side B] seemed to me that it would mitigate the problems with lighting considerably. Those trees in the Greenway are deciduous as the Mayor pointed out. In the winter time, our living room which has big windows both on Farris and College, look directly into everything because our living level is up one floor above grade. Not ever having experienced security lighting that will be over there, I don't know how to predict that and certainly we're glad to have security, but after a while you can feel like you're

living right on the street with all the lighting coming in from two sides. I don't think it would keep us awake, but that's a concern for us and also the traffic headlights coming and going. You know that could be a distraction. I guess there's not a whole lot that can be done with that other than the amount of screening that the city will require and the amount that the university wants to do. And admittedly they certainly have worked toward beautifying and adding plantings everywhere. It's just kind of a question of how much and where and what type I think because they do a good job with screening along there and there's no reason why they wouldn't want to in my view because there's nothing attractive about most parking lots. As you approach on W. College now that will become the gateway from that side of town and they finished this and put in the curved wall and whatever else they're going to do. In the winter time we can easily just look through the trees directly up to the new building which was not there last year, but we've got glimpses of how that would be. So as that grade rises, you're looking right into the grade and then you see all the buildings as well in the background. That's just by way of comment as to what it looks like in the winter time for us.

We have another big concern and I don't know if this is the best time to mention it, but that is the stormwater management which continues to be a really big problem in that intersection of W. College and E. Farris. We did not have any of those huge frog-strangling storms this summer, but I've lived there so long that I've seen a number of them and the ponding does occur all the way up E. Farris, pretty much the entire depth of our lot and also on W. College. It completely ponds the entrances of both our driveways that go through the corner. That's bad enough and the clean-up afterwards is bad enough, but we're just concerned that it's going to get worse and worse. We've got a grade level basement entrance out of the carport which is under the living level of our house. It will flood directly into that. There's no way to keep it out if it gets to be that severe. We know that ponding causes are many. One of which is probably whatever is slowing it downstream and whatever size the culvert is that goes under W. College. The parking lot dumps this water right into that same creek which I don't know how else they would get rid of it, but it seems to me that's going to aggravate the problem considerably and it would be an on-going thing for us to deal with and we'll be complaining night and day right on about that. So the other thing that may have impact on that would be the possibility of whether through some sort of funding of a nice retention pond could be put in McCain Park with the creek that comes from the north, northwest side of College Village and all back in there. A pond in that area where it turns and goes under W. College, if it could be retained there and made into a nice feature pond rather than just a drainage ditch. That would certainly pick up some of the water that's now contributing to the ponding, but that's a whole issue separate from High Point U, but it does contribute quite a volume there. Some of you remember the letters from Everett Hill who lived right on the creek side of that leg that goes under there and that was a project of his he took on for years trying to determine what should be done and how it should be done and how much water was there and that sort of thing. So it's been an issue for a long, long time, for years it's been a trouble spot among many in the city. Unfortunately it's going to get worse unless we do something about it. So I don't know if there are any questions you might have of me.

Council Member Sims: Mr. Mays, just one comment. When the parking lot was installed on Guyer, I had some concerns just as you did about the lighting and how it would impact that community. If you have an opportunity to drive through there at night when those lights are on, it's not as bad as I thought it was going to be. Somehow they've managed to

direct the lighting so that it does not shine out into the neighborhood. It's almost as if you don't know the parking lot is there unless you're purposefully looking for it, so I'm hoping that when they do this with this particular parking lot, they will work with those outside lights the same way they did with Guyer Street. It wasn't as bad as I thought it was going to be, but take an opportunity to ride through there at night when those lights are on and gage for yourself what you think that looks like and pretty much, I think, that's what you're going to see-other than the fact that the university just generates a generous amount of light period. From a parking lot, I don't think you'll get as much, but you will get all of the light that's currently there that the university is currently generating. Just take a look at it.

Clayton Mays: I appreciate that Ms. Sims. I'm aware of the lights behind _____ Stadium that stay on all night and I'm sure they consider those security lights which they are. We have neighbors directly across the street from that parking lot and it really lights up their bedroom and their whole house, even though it's directed downward and all that, so it can be a problem. Really and truly we're the only ones probably that will be affected by this parking lot other than the folks around on Fifth Court as long as they're there because of the way the land is, the way McCain Park is and all through there. Anybody else?

Council Member Alexander: I'd like to ask....can our....I would assume it would be Public Services, take a look at the volume that flows through that creek and see if the culvert is properly sized under W. College. I know that beyond W. College as it crosses I guess that would be N. College you've got the Greenway and the Greenway culvert adds capacity in there. I'm just wondering if we're posting water from the basin above because it just can't get under W. College fast enough. I mean there's been a lot of development and it's not just the university up basin from there and I don't know if it's sized properly or what. I'm sure it would be expensive, but I don't know what it would cost to put another pipe through there that could take excess flow and move that water on down, but of course when you do that you're going to cause it to go down even quicker.

Pat Pate: Councilman Alexander, I'm sure you will recall that we just condemned the property on the other side of Centennial that's part of the stormwater drainage basin in that same area to help with that problem of retaining water as its coming back and basically follows the same path. So we have been looking at that basin and we'll continue to look at your concerns here.

Mayor Smothers: That area drains all the way from that point at Centennial and College back.

Pat Pate: Right it comes underneath the shopping center and comes back to this point.

Council Member Alexander: Well it would come really I guess from across N. Main from the Library all down through Armstrong Park and over from that to the College Village area and that kind of thing.

Mayor Smothers: There's a lot of impervious surface in that College Village on up with the church and Wesleyan.

Council Member Alexander: Once it leaves there, it has a pretty good size....the stream

is a pretty good size as it runs along the Greenway back in behind Welborn and Andrews School and that kind of thing, but there's a lot of water that moves through that basin.

Pat Pate: Yes there is. You're absolutely right.

Mayor Smothers: I'm sorry, Clayton you all weren't here to hear Dan Pritchett talk about the watershed plan that High Point University submitted originally. He presented that information on Monday and from their perspective and staff's understanding of what has already been approved, they are meeting the requirements that were in place, but I would hope that the Bio Retention and other features that have been established that go up toward Montlieu since it's all kind of coming down that way, would also help in some of that surface run-off.

Council Member Sims: Madam Mayor, I understand that they talked about having met or exceeded what the requirements were, but as you develop, sometime even though you've banked a certain amount of credits against this, sometimes because of the way....or what you're constructing it doesn't matter that you've banked it because you're still creating an issue with impervious surface in a particular area. So I don't know whether that should be the way that we look at this or we should be looking at situations and circumstances as they occur and say if you put this particular development or type of development in this area, this is what you're going to create regardless of what you've banked and it has to be mitigated in some fashion. In listening to Mr. Mays, I don't know the last time his home has flooded or that has happened with your carport, but perhaps we don't need to be so much I guess concentrating on the banking of these credits as we would how is development or this particular thing going to affect or impact what happens in that community?

Mayor Smothers: Well I think that's true, but I believe that Mr. Alexander made the real important point was to have some analysis in that drainage area because it's not just High Point University that changes the balance. I think that's a city responsibility as well.

Council Member Sims: It is to some degree, but I know that we have in the past, it doesn't matter who causes it, it just matters when it gets to the tipping point. At this particular juncture, if we look at it and I'm not saying who should mitigate it and I'm not saying how it should happen, but I do believe that when we look at this, it doesn't matter that we have all these credits out there, what matters is what this particular situation would do once it's installed.

Chairman Bencini: I think Ms. Sims point is well taken and we can all look as an example of what happened with the expansion of the hospital area. I think every good faith effort was made on part of the city's staff to make sure that we didn't have a problem and we've still got a problem over there any how.

Mayor Smothers: But part of that, wouldn't you agree, the city infrastructure was incapable of handling it. But we are replacing it. I mean we've made modifications.

Chairman Bencini: I think that's exactly what was being suggested here as problems pop up and as Mr. Alexander said we need to be looking a little farther ahead.

Mayor Smothers: But until we get that done, we already have something in place that has been approved.

Chairman Bencini: Being approved or being effective, though, I think sometimes can be two different things.

Mayor Smothers: Well when it says you need additional information for policy based on data, not just.....

Chairman Bencini: I think that's what we're asking...to make sure that we focus on the impacts that the additional impervious surface in that part of town, whether created by the university or somebody else, because we know we've had problems over there. We've already gone through a condemnation process to try to mitigate some of those problems and I don't think we're going to get less.....in that immediate area we're not going to get less development, we're going to get more development.

Mayor Smothers: Chris, you got here and I guess somebody must have called you and told you to come. When you all looked at that particular lot that was purchased to offset some of the drainage issues, did you do a sub-basin type analysis to pinpoint that or is there need for more?

Chris Thompson: We had a study done on that. Of course that's upstream from High Point University. Things we've had in place have required people to take care of the additional run-off and that's an equitable way. The things you have in reference to the tipping point, I don't know how fair it is to throw it on one person, I like the idea of going back for further study. The idea of the hospital is a perfect example, but at the time all the hospital things were being developed, they were going through a totally different drainage basin and were not under the watershed regulations or stormwater rules that we have for Oak Hollow and City Lake basin and Randleman area. We now have those rules on that side of town as well. With the studies that we're doing now, we're going to make improvements to those areas and should one person do it all? I don't know, but I don't think they should. Everything that's coming in now is being designed to treat that first inch of run-off. The study, it will help upstream, but is it going to mitigate it all the way down? No.

Council Member Sims: I think, though, as we move through this when and if we adopt the University Area draft plan and at that point we know how the university intends to expand and we know there's going to be additional impervious surface as a result of that, then I think it is prudent for us to look at all that in relationship to where we are with our stormwater run-off even though we know some of it is upstream and not an issue there. The more they start to build and the more they expand, we're going to have these issues

whether it's internally inside the university. I don't know if they've looked at any of that because it may affect them before it's all said and done. I do know that it is going to be an impact to that community, so I think it needs to be looked at not only upstream but what's happening with the proposed expansion of the university and how that impacts the surrounding neighborhood.

Chris Thompson: I don't disagree with that. All I'm saying is we're looking at pre-development and post-development flows and if they're taking that difference and handling that volume, then you should see no additional problems than what you had before the development.

Council Member Alexander: Chris I also....and we went over this the other night. I know the university has great capacity in their up-basin area, but in my opinion this parking lot is a negative towards the environment because there's nothing being done to slow the oils, greases, antifreeze and things. It's going to run downhill and right directly into the stream. It's not going to be posted. I mean everything that falls into that parking lot is going to be in that creek. It doesn't go through there because they have credits and they've really done an excellent job up there, but I think the spirit was that we need to make sure that run-off from impervious surface especially parking lots that those oils and sediments have a chance to filter out before they enter the streams. If you've got extra credit, that's great, but in spirit this parking lot is going to be a polluter of our water system.

Pat Pate: Chris, I need you to come be sworn in that your testimony is true.

Mayor Smothers: And make it retroactive.

JoAnne Carlyle: If you weren't sworn in Monday night, Chris, it needs to be part of the record as part of the evidence.

Martha Ward: I'd also like to say something if I may.

[oaths administered at this time]

Martha Ward: I'm Martha Ward. I live at 1001 W. College Drive and I've lived there for 21 years. When I first moved there I just remember that small creek and I always thought it was just a small creek. You know if we had a major storm it might fill up that particular area and would not cross over the road. Now I'm looking at a river. It's not a creek. It looks like a major river to me. The time that creek topped within 20 minutes or less, it's up within 5 feet of our basin door and that means that no car can leave our driveway. It will impact the university because already when people decide to drive through that then they have to get the Fire Department in there and try to get the people out through their car windows

A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Bencini, seconded by Council Member Whitley, that this matter be adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before Council, the meeting adjourned at 9:35 a.m. upon motion duly made and seconded.

Respectfully Submitted,

Rebecca R. Smothers, Mayor

Attest:

Lisa B. Vierling, MMC
City Clerk